

MINUTES OF CJC MEETING AT 1100 ON FRIDAY 15 JULY 2011 - CIPFA OFFICES, WC2

Present – Steve Cross (ADASS), Malcolm Dawes (ACES), Richard Harbord (IRRV), David Kelly (LGA), Sipi Hameenaho (Coops UK), John Serle (Socitm), Julian Smith (secretary), Rob Tate (CIA), Will Werry (chair), Bernard Wyld (SCALA)

Apologies - Carl Allen (CP), Norma Atlay (ALAT), John Blair (APSE), Clive Bone (IVM), Derek Collins (BSA), Roy Fairclough (TAG), Parvis Khansari (CSS), Lesley Lodge (CIPFA), Sue Morecroft (CIOPS)

- 1 Agreed that the CJC's next guide will be to **the Government White Paper on public services**. Agreed also that
 - its main purpose should be to explain the proposals of the White Paper in plain language, identifying which are practicable now, which would require legislation, and which appear impracticable even with legislation
 - it should also note the need for asset locks, the scope for the involvement of third parties in the governance of mutuals, VAT liability, the difficulty in estimating the savings in overhead costs as a result of hiving off, and the high cost of insurance to mutuals
 - the chair should first circulate a draft brief for the guide

- 2 The following new or amended Parts of the on-line standing guide were agreed

01 - the regulatory regime	01A - do the directives apply ?
03 - using the third sector	04 - using small businesses
03A&04A - restricting awards	05 - pursuing wellbeing objectives
06 - make or buy	06c - the right to run services
10 - risk allocation	12 - service sharing
17-21 - competition suite	25 - framework agreements
29 - choosing between procedures	31 - FOI about commissioning
37 - standstill & remedies	59 - staff mutuals

subject to

- noting in 01.A15 that the Teckal exemption does not apply to contracts for bodies not controlled by authorities, even if set up by them, for example mutuals
- amending of to or in the title of Part 06
- noting in 06.05 that possible reasons for contracting out include anxiety to minimise long term financial commitments
- noting after 10.28 that the retention by principal authorities of statutory responsibility following delegation is another example of risks which cannot be transferred
- noting at 12.06 that statutory restrictions on the bodies to which function can be delegated would not be removed by the proposed power of general competence
- deleting 12.12.2 (progressive loss of in-house expertise as a result of sharing)

- 3 **Calendar of meetings** noted as follows, all at 1100 in the CIPFA offices, Robert Street

30 September 2011	25 November 2011	27 January 2012	30 March 2012
25 May 2012	27 July 2012	28 September 2012	30 November 2012

Approved and signed



Will Werry, chair, 20 May 2011